

Comment Set D.72: Caroline and Scott Christlieb

09/15/2006 17:27

8052700022

UNIQUELY YOURS

PAGE 01



CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Scoping Comments

Proposed Antelope-Pardee 500-kV Transmission Project

Date: 9-19-06  
Name\*: Caroline & Scott Christlieb  
Affiliation (if any)\*: \_\_\_\_\_  
Address\*: 40101 98th St West  
City, State, Zip Code\*: Leona Valley, CA 93551  
Telephone Number\*: 661-270-0736  
Email\*: Race4Fire@earthlink.net

We are opposed to any of the proposed new power line routes through Leona Valley. You already have an existing route available to you. There are other options you have, as well that do not involve Leona Valley. You can contract with other companies who have transmission lines already in place with the capacity to transmit the power you may need. It is our understanding that the Forestry Service does not want these power lines through the public forest. They would rather have you use private lands to do this. The last time we checked, the forest was public land, it belongs to us not the Forestry Service. While we believe in conservation, the destruction of private land and the lives of over Eight Hundred Families is not acceptable. The EIR's or EIS's (Reaction) that these powerlines

D.72-1

D.72-2

\*Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested.

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be postmarked by September 18, 2006. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (661) 215-5152 or emailed to antelope-pardee@aspenerg.com.

09/15/2006 17:27 8852788022

UNIQUELY YOURS

PAGE 02

emit will pose such a threat to the health of those living near the powerlines, it won't be safe to stay here. Additionally, our property values will drop, people will lose their investments, livelihoods and be in a situation where they either lose their homes, or stay and risk their health. We have many children in this valley who will be affected.

D.72-3

D.72-4

There is also the threat of wildfires that we live with. If these new powerlines go through our valley, they will hamper the firefighting abilities of our brave firefighters. Helicopters will be very limited in their water dropping capabilities due to the powerline, which in turn could cause more homes and lives to be lost than otherwise would. In a wind driven fire, you cannot stop the fire's progress, no matter how many water drops you do. The fire will come to our valley and there will be no way for the firefighters to protect our homes and property because of the powerlines. We have lived in this valley for almost twelve years, my husband is a firefighter

D.72-5

09/15/2006 17:27 8052700022

UNIQUELY YOURS

PAGE 03

and we have seen this happen.  
The water dropping helicopters will not  
be able to give air support to those  
on the ground because of the danger  
of flying near the Husc powerlines.  
It is not acceptable that you would  
destroy a thriving community to develop  
another one.

We respectfully request that you look at  
your other options. We will do everything  
in our power to fight the powerlines  
going through our valley, including litigation.

Thank You,  
Caroline Chivallier

## Response to Comment Set D.72: Caroline and Scott Christlieb

- D.72-1 SCE proposed Project and several of the alternatives analyzed in the EIR/EIS include the use of existing transmission rights-of-way. Please see General Response GR-4 regarding the development of alternative routes outside of NFS lands.
- D.72-2 As discussed in Section C.9.10.2, the alternative alignment would be constructed across an estimated 103 privately owned parcels. The majority of land uses that would be restricted as a result of Alternative 5 would be the erection of new structures within the alternative ROW. However, given that SCE has not conducted construction or final alignment and design studies for Alternative 5, the EIR/EIS has assumed that the removal of one or more homes may occur. As such, Section C.9.10.2 (Impact L-3) concluded that potential impacts to residential land uses as a result of Alternative 5 would be significant and unavoidable.
- D.72-3 Please see General Response GR-3 regarding EMF health concerns.
- D.72-4 Please see General Response GR-1 regarding potential effects on property values.
- D.72-5 We recognize that Alternative 5 would constrain the ability to aggressively fight a wildland fire in the vicinity of the route, and would create additional fire risks to inhabited areas such as Leona Valley and Agua Dulce (see discussion in Section D.5). Your concerns will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project and alternatives at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC.